

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/913,971 | 01/03/2002 | Guy Valembois | 15675P369 | 8339 |
| 7590 10/09/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman | | | CHAMBERS, TROY | |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | | | |
| 7th Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Los Angeles, CA 90025 | | | 3641 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/913,971 | VALEMBOIS ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Troy Chambers | 3641 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply | n appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any rearned | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHINGS (statute, cause the application to become ABAN | ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | Pagagaive to communication(e) filed or | 16 July 2002 | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | _ | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | ,— | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u ion of Claims | allowance except for formal matte inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. | ers, prosecution as to the ments is 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-23 and 31-38</u> is/are pendi | ing in the application | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit | | | | | |
| | • | indiawn nom consideration. | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-23 and 31-38</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | od. | | | | |
| , | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| , — | ion Papers | ana, o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o , o | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | accepted or b) objected to by the | e Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ dis | approved by the Examiner. | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required | in reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the | he Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | ments have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | ments have been received in Ap | plication No | | | |
| * (| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 🖟 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| |) | | | | | |
| Attachmen | · · | | - | | | |
| 2) Notic | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | 48) 5) Notice of Inf | ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S Patent and 1 | rademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/913,971

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).
 - "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 09/913,971 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

Claim Obj ctions

1. The following is a quotation of 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a):

The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to "particularly point out" the means in which a structure is "placed in tension" and a beam is "working in compression". Additionally, there is recited insufficient structure to perform the recited function of "rupturing said structure on demand". While the Examiner takes functional limitations into consideration, those limitations must be an obvious result of previously recited elements. Currently, claim 1 does not provide those limitations that would cause any part of the device to be in tension, compression or ruptured on demand.
- 3. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out the relevant features of the stressing members. Applicant recites, "the stressed members being shaped so that...they impose on the fibers a radius of curvature that is below a threshold of curvature thereof." To comply with 37 C.F.R 1.75(a) the applicant must specify which shape would bring about such a result.
- 4. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: "element to be ruptured" should be "structure to be ruptured". Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: the colon should be removed. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. Claim 16 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for reciting insufficient structure to perform the recited function. Specifically, applicant recites an inflatable sealed member "designed" to perform a function. However, to comply with the "particularly

Application/Control Number: 09/913,971

Art Unit: 3641

pointing out" and "distinctly claiming" requirement of 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a), the applicant must specify the design that performs the recited function.

- 7. Claim 22 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to comply with the "particularly pointing out" and "distinctly claiming" requirement of 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a). To do so, the applicant must specify the material of the anvil and the material of the punch.
- 8. Claims 32, 36, 37 and 38 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to "particularly point out" the means in which a structure is "working in compression".

 Currently, claims 32, 36, 37 and 38 do not provide those limitations that would cause any part of the device to be in compression.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 1-7, 9-23 and 31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 1 recites, "a structure placed in tension between two support elements." However, neither the drawings nor the specification make clear how the structure 10 is placed in a state of tension. The disclosure only makes a clear a fiber 10 in a static state between two members 100, 110. The disclosure does not disclose that the members are capable of movement with respect to one another to cause the fiber to be in a state of tension.
- 11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/913,971

Art Unit: 3641

regards as the invention. Specifically, applicant recites the phrase "threshold of curvature thereof". It is not clear what a threshold of curvature is nor is it clear what thereof refers to.

Claims 9, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as 12. being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 9 recites the broad recitation "of the order of 0.1 to 25 (mu)m", and the claim also recites, "0.1 to 10 (mu)m" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. Similarly, claim 13 recites the broad limitation "between 30 and 90 (degrees)", and the claims also recites, "preferably of the order of 60 (degrees)" which is the narrower statement of the

Application/Control Number: 09/913,971 Page 6

Art Unit: 3641

range limitation. Also, claim 15 recites a maximum radius of curvature of 1mm, and a narrower radius of 0.75mm within the same claim.

- 13. Claims 11, 13, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "of the order of" has been held to be indefinite. Ex parte Oetiker, 23USPQ2d 1641 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992).
- 14. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an anvil with an ultimate tensile strength of less than 600 Mpa and a punch made with a modulus of higher than 2000 Mpa such as high carbon steel, does not reasonably provide enablement for materials not disclosed by the applicant that may have different mechanical properties (i.e., tensile strength) yet meet the applicants claims definition. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 15. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The applicant does not make clear what "it " is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

16. Claims 1, 7, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 5361676 issued to Gibbs.

· Application/Control Number: 09/913,971 Page 7

Art Unit: 3641

17. Gibbs discloses a device comprising a first support element 40; a second support element 12; a structure placed in tension 90, 96 between the two support elements; a rupture device 64, 70 actuated on command; and, a beam working in compression 30. The barrel 62 has an annular structure.

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar rupture devices.
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Art Unit: 3641

5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

CUTENCE VENERAL ENTRY

. • Application/Control Number: 09/913,971

Art Unit: 3641